arXiv:1010.6059v3 [math.RT] 20 Nov 2010

On the Local Langlands Correspondences of DeBacker/Reeder and Reeder for $GL(\ell, F)$, where ℓ is prime

November 23, 2010

Moshe Adrian[1]

1 Abstract

We prove that the conjectural depth zero local Langlands correspondence of DeBacker/Reeder agrees with the depth zero local Langlands correspondence as described by Moy, for the group $GL(\ell, F)$, where ℓ is prime and F is a local non-archimedean field of characteristic 0. We also prove that if one assumes a certain compatibility condition between Adler's and Howe's construction of supercuspidal representations, then the conjectural positive depth local Langlands correspondence of Reeder also agrees with the positive depth local Langlands correspondence as described by Moy, for $GL(\ell, F)$. Specifically, we first work out in detail the construction of DeBacker/Reeder for $GL(\ell, F)$, we then restate the constructions in the language of Moy, and finally prove that the correspondences agree. Up to a compatibility between Adler's and Howe's constructions, we then do the same for Reeder's positive depth construction.

2 Introduction

Let F be a local non-archimedean field of characteristic zero. Let $\mathbf{G}$ be a connected reductive group defined over F. The local Langlands correspondence asserts that there is a finite to one map from the set of admissible representations of $\mathbf{G}(F)$ to the set of Langlands parameters of $\mathbf{G}(F)$, satisfying various conditions. Until recently, this has only been proven for special cases of groups such as $GL(n, F)$, $Sp(4, F)$, and $U(3)$. The local Langlands correspondence for $GL(n, F)$ has been proven independently by Harris/Taylor and Henniart. More recently, DeBacker/Reeder and Reeder, in [6] and [10], have described conjectural local Langlands correspondences for a more general class of groups, for certain classes of Langlands parameters. Despite the fact that their correspondences satisfy several requirements that the Langlands correspondence should have, their correspondences are still conjectural. One would therefore like to know whether they agree at least with the proven correspondences in the known cases. We prove that the correspondence of [6] agrees with the known correspondence for $GL(\ell, F)$, and that the correspodnence of [10] agrees with the known

---

[1] Moshe Adrian
madrian@math.utah.edu
Department of Mathematics
University of Utah
Salt Lake City, UT 84112

correspondence for $GL(\ell, F)$ if one assumes a certain compatibility condition which we describe later.

For $GL(n, F)$, the constructions of Harris/Taylor, Henniart, and DeBacker/Reeder (and Reeder) use different methods. We first recall the classical construction of the tame local Langlands correspondence for $GL(\ell, F)$, due to Moy in [9]. We note that a tame local Langlands correspondence for $GL(n, F)$ was conjectured by Moy in [9] for general n. Because of recent work of Bushnell and Henniart (see [3]), the correspondence of [9] is correct for $GL(\ell, F)$, ℓ a prime.

Definition 2.1. Let E/F be an extension of degree ℓ, ℓ relatively prime to the residual characteristic of F, and let χ be a character of E^*. The pair $(E/F, \chi)$ is called *admissible* if χ doesn't come via the norm from a proper subfield of E containing F.

We write $\mathbb{P}_\ell(F)$ for the set of F-isomorphism classes of admissible pairs $(E/F, \chi)$ where E/F is a degree ℓ extension (for more information about admissible pairs, see [9]). Let $\mathbb{A}^0_\ell(F)$ denote the set of supercuspidal representations of $GL(\ell, F)$. Howe constructs a map (see [8])

$$\mathbb{P}_\ell(F) \to \mathbb{A}^0_\ell(F)$$

$$(E/F, \chi) \mapsto \pi_\chi$$

This map is a bijection (see [9]). Let $\mathbb{G}^0_\ell(F)$ denote the set of irreducible ℓ-dimensional representations of W_F. We then have a bijection (see [9])

$$\mathbb{P}_\ell(F) \to \mathbb{G}^0_\ell(F)$$

$$(E/F, \chi) \mapsto Ind_{W_E}^{W_F}(\chi) =: \phi(\chi)$$

The local Langlands correspondence is then given by

$$\phi(\chi) \mapsto \pi_{\chi\Delta_\chi}$$

for some subtle finite order character Δ_χ of E^*. In the case of depth zero supercuspidal representations, there is only one extension E/F to deal with, namely, the unramified extension of F of degree ℓ.

On the other hand, the constructions of DeBacker/Reeder (see [6]) and Reeder (see [10]) extensively uses Bruhat-Tits theory. To a certain class of Langlands parameters for an unramified connected reductive group $\mathbf{G}$, they associate a character of a torus, to which they attach a collection of supercuspidal representations on the pure inner forms of $\mathbf{G}(F)$, a conjectural L-packet. They are also able to isolate the part of this packet corresponding to a particular pure inner form, and prove that their correspondences satisfy various natural conditions, such as stability.

Specifically, we will prove the following. Let E/F be the unramified degree ℓ extension, ℓ a prime. To any TRSELP ("tame, regular, semisimple, elliptic, Langlands parameter") for $GL(\ell, F)$, we show that the theory of Debacker/Reeder attaches the character $\chi\Delta_\chi$ of E^*, to which is attached the representation $\pi_{\chi\Delta_\chi}$. This will prove that their correspondence agrees with the correspondence of [9] for $GL(\ell, F)$.

We then prove the same for Reeder's construction, if one assumes a certain compatibility condition, which we describe now. Reeder's construction in [10] begins by canonically attaching a certain admissible pair $(L/F, \Omega)$ to a Langlands parameter for $GL(\ell, F)$. His construction then inputs this admissible pair into the theory of [1] in order to construct a supercuspidal representation $\pi(L, \Omega)$ of $GL(\ell, F)$. The compatibility condition that we will need to assume is that $\pi(L, \Omega)$ is the same supercuspidal representation that is attached to $(L/F, \Omega)$ via the Howe construction in [8]. We remark that this compatibility condition does not seem to be known to the experts.

We wish to make an interesting remark regarding the correspondences of Moy and DeBacker/Reeder (and Reeder, assuming the above compatibility). Although the correspondence of Moy agrees with DeBacker/Reeder (and Reeder, assuming the above compatibility), there are some important details that are different. In particular, the passage from a Langlands parameter to a character of a torus has a subtle but important difference. To illustrate this difference, we rewrite both correspondences to include their factorization through characters of elliptic tori as

$$\{\text{Langlands Parameters from [6] or [10] for } GL(\ell, F)\} \to \mathbb{P}_\ell(F) \to \mathbb{A}_\ell^0(F)$$

Then, the correspondence of Moy is given by

$$\phi(\chi) = Ind_{W_E}^{W_F}(\chi) \mapsto (E/F, \chi) \mapsto \pi_{\chi\Delta_\chi}$$

whereas the correspondences of DeBacker/Reeder (and Reeder, assuming the compatibility) are given by

$$\phi(\chi) = Ind_{W_E}^{W_F}(\chi) \mapsto (E/F, \chi\Delta_\chi) \mapsto \pi_{\chi\Delta_\chi}.$$

We now briefly present an outline of the paper. In section 3, we introduce some notation that we will need throughout. In section 4, we briefly recall some of the key components to the construction of DeBacker/Reeder from [6]. In section 5, we recall the tame local Langlands correspondence for $GL(\ell, F)$ as explained in [9]. In sections 6 and 7, we work out the theory of [6] for $GL(2, F)$, and we show that the correspondence of DeBacker/Reeder and Moy agree for $GL(2, F)$. In sections 8 and 9, we work out the theory of [6] for $GL(m, F)$, where m is an odd prime, and we show that the correspondence of DeBacker/Reeder and Moy agree for $GL(m, F)$. Finally, in section 10, we work out the theory of [10] for $GL(\ell, F)$, where ℓ is prime, and we show that under the compatibility condition, the correspondence of Reeder and Moy agree for $GL(\ell, F)$.

Acknowledgements. This paper has benefited from conversations with Jeffrey Adams, Jeffrey Adler, Gordan Savin, and Jiu-Kang Yu.

3 Notation

Let F denote a non-archimedean local field of characteristic zero. We let $\mathfrak{o}_F$ denote the ring of integers of F, $\mathfrak{p}_F$ its maximal ideal, $\mathfrak{f}$ the residue field of F, q the order of $\mathfrak{f}$, and p the characteristic of $\mathfrak{f}$. Let $\mathfrak{f}_\mathfrak{m}$ denote the degree m extension of $\mathfrak{f}$. We will also sometimes write k_F for the residue field of F. We let ϖ denote a uniformizer of F. Let F^u denote the maximal unramified extension of F. We have the canonical projection

$$\Pi : \mathfrak{o}_F^* \to \mathfrak{o}_F^*/(1 + \mathfrak{p}_F) \cong \mathfrak{f}^*$$

We denote by W_F the Weil group of F, I_F the inertia subgroup of W_F, I_F^+ the wild inertia subgroup of W_F, and W_F^{ab} the abelianization of W_F. We denote by W_F' the Weil-Deligne group, we set $W_t := W_F/I_F^+$, and we set $I_t := I_F/I_F^+$. We fix an element $\Phi \in Gal(\overline{F}/F)$ whose inverse induces the map $x \mapsto x^q$ on $\mathfrak{F} := \overline{\mathfrak{f}}$. Likewise, if E/F is the unramified extension of degree ℓ, we fix an element $\Phi_E \in Gal(\overline{E}/E)$ whose inverse induces the map $x \mapsto x^{q^\ell}$ on $\mathfrak{F} := \overline{\mathfrak{f}}$. Let $\mathbf{G}$ be a connected reductive group over F, and set $G = \mathbf{G}(F^u)$. We fix $\mathbf{T} \subset \mathbf{G}$, an F^u-split maximal torus which is defined over F and maximally F-split, and set $T = \mathbf{T}(F^u)$. We write $X := X_*(\mathbf{T})$, W_o

for the finite Weyl group $N_G(T)/T$, and set $N := N_G(T)$. We write W for the extended affine Weyl group, and W^o for the affine Weyl group. We let $\mathcal{A} := \mathcal{A}(T)$ be the apartment of T. We denote by θ the automorphism of X, W induced by Φ, and $\hat{\theta}$ the dual automorphism. If E/F is a finite Galois extension, then we denote by $\aleph_{E/F}$ the local class field theory character of F^* with respect to the extension E/F. If $\chi \in \widehat{E^*}$ satisfies $\chi|_{1+\mathfrak{p}_E} \equiv 1$, then $\chi|_{\mathfrak{o}_E^*}$ factors to a character, denoted χ_o, of k_E^*, given by $\chi_o(x) := \chi(u)$ for any $u \in \mathfrak{o}_E^*$ such that $\Pi(u) = x$, where $x \in k_E^*$. If E/F is the degree ℓ unramified extension, where ℓ is prime, we once and for all fix a generator ξ of $Gal(E/F)$. We also fix a generator of $Gal(\mathfrak{f}_\ell/\mathfrak{f})$, which, abusing notation, we also denote by ξ. If χ is a character of E^* or $\mathfrak{f}_\ell^*$, we let χ^ξ denote the character given by $\chi^\xi(x) := \chi(\xi(x))$. If L/K is a Galois quadratic extension, we let the map $x \mapsto \overline{x}$ denote the nontrivial Galois automorphism of L/K. If A is a group and B is a normal subgroup of A, we denote the image of $a \in A$ in A/B by $[a]$. If $\phi : C \to D$ is a group homomorphism and ϕ is trivial on a normal subgroup $M \lhd C$, then we will abuse notation and write $\phi|_{C/M}$ for the factorization of ϕ to a map $C/M \to D$. For example, the Langlands parameters in [6] are trivial on the wild inertia subgroup I_F^+ of the inertia group I_F. Therefore, if ϕ is such a Langlands parameter and $I_t := I_F/I_F^+$, we will write $\phi|_{I_t}$ to denote the factorization of $\phi|_{I_F}$ to the quotient I_t.

4 Review of Construction of DeBacker and Reeder

We first review some of the basic theory from [6]. We first fix a pinning $(\hat{T}, \hat{B}, \{x_\alpha\})$ for G over F. Following [6], we may form the semidirect product ${}^L G := <\hat{\theta}> \ltimes \hat{G}$.

Definition 4.1. A Langlands parameter $\phi : W_F' \to {}^L G$ is called a *tame regular semisimple elliptic Langlands parameter* (abbreviated TRSELP) if

(1) ϕ is trivial on I_F^+,
(2) The centralizer of $\phi(I_F)$ in $\hat{G}$ is a torus.
(3) $C_{\hat{G}}(\phi)^o = (\hat{Z}^{\hat{\theta}})^o$, where $\hat{Z}$ denotes the center of $\hat{G}$.

Condition (2) forces ϕ to be trivial on $SL(2, \mathbb{C})$. Let $\hat{N} = N_{\hat{G}}(\hat{T})$. After conjugating by $\hat{G}$, we may assume that $\phi(I_F) \subset \hat{T}$ and $\phi(\Phi) = \hat{\theta} f$, where $f \in \hat{N}$. Let $\hat{w}$ be the image of f in $\hat{W}_o$, and let w be the element of W_o dual to $\hat{w}$.

Let ϕ be a TRSELP with associated w and set $\sigma = w\theta$. Let $\hat{\sigma}$ be the automorphism dual to σ, and let n be the order of σ. We set $\hat{G}_{ab} := \hat{G}/\hat{G}'$, where $\hat{G}'$ denotes the derived group of $\hat{G}$. Let ${}^L T_\sigma := \langle \hat{\sigma} \rangle \ltimes \hat{T}$. Associated to ϕ, DeBacker/Reeder (see [6, Chapter 4]) define a $\hat{T}$-conjugacy class of Langlands parameters

$$\phi_T : W_F \to {}^L T_\sigma \tag{1}$$

as follows. Set $\phi_T := \phi$ on I_F, and $\phi_T(\Phi) := \hat{\sigma} \ltimes \tau$ where $\tau \in \hat{T}$ is any element whose class in $\hat{T}/(1 - \hat{\sigma})\hat{T}$ corresponds to the image of f in $\hat{G}_{ab}/(1 - \hat{\theta})\hat{G}_{ab}$ under the bijection

$$\hat{T}/(1 - \hat{\sigma})\hat{T} \xrightarrow{\sim} \hat{G}_{ab}/(1 - \hat{\theta})\hat{G}_{ab} \tag{2}$$

In [6, Chapter 4], DeBacker and Reeder construct a canonical bijection between $\hat{T}$-conjugacy classes of admissible homomorphisms $\phi : W_t \to {}^L T_\sigma$ and depth zero characers of T^{Φ_σ} where $\Phi_\sigma := \sigma \otimes \Phi^{-1}$. We briefly summarize this construction. Let $\mathbb{T} := X \otimes \mathfrak{F}^*$. Given automorphisms α, β of abelian groups A, B, respectively, let $Hom_{\alpha,\beta}(A, B)$ denote the set of homomorphisms

$f : A \to B$ such that $f \circ \alpha = \beta \circ f$. The twisted norm map $N_\sigma(t) = t\Phi_\sigma(t)\Phi_\sigma^2(t) \cdots \Phi_\sigma^{n-1}(t)$ induces isomorphisms

$$\mathrm{Hom}(\mathbb{T}^{\Phi_\sigma}, \mathbb{C}^*) \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathrm{Hom}_{\Phi_\sigma, Id}(\mathbb{T}^{\Phi_\sigma^n}, \mathbb{C}^*) \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathrm{Hom}_{\Phi_\sigma, Id}(X \otimes \mathfrak{f}_n^*, \mathbb{C}^*)$$

Moreover, the map $s \mapsto \chi_s$ gives an isomorphism

$$\mathrm{Hom}_{\Phi,\hat{\sigma}}(\mathfrak{f}_n^*, \hat{T}) \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathrm{Hom}_{\Phi_\sigma, Id}(X \otimes \mathfrak{f}_n^*, \mathbb{C}^*)$$

where $\chi_s(\lambda \otimes a) := \lambda(s(a))$. The canonical projection $I_t \to \mathfrak{f}_m^*$ induces an isomorphism as Φ-modules $I_t/(1 - Ad(\Phi)^m)I_t \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathfrak{f}_m^*$. Since $\hat{\sigma}$ has order n, we have $\mathrm{Hom}_{\Phi,\hat{\sigma}}(\mathfrak{f}_n^*, \hat{T}) \cong \mathrm{Hom}_{Ad(\Phi),\hat{\sigma}}(I_t, \hat{T})$. Therefore, the map $s \mapsto \chi_s$ is a canonical bijection

$$\mathrm{Hom}_{Ad(\Phi),\hat{\sigma}}(I_t, \hat{T}) \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathrm{Hom}(\mathbb{T}^{\Phi_\sigma}, \mathbb{C}^*)$$

Moreover, we have an isomorphism

$$^0T^{\Phi_\sigma} \times X^\sigma \xrightarrow{\sim} T^{\Phi_\sigma}$$

$$(\gamma, \lambda) \mapsto \gamma\lambda(\varpi)$$

where ^0T is the group of $\mathfrak{o}_{F^u}$-points of $\mathbf{T}$.

Finally, note that $\hat{T}/(1-\hat{\sigma})\hat{T}$ is the character group of X^σ, whereby $\tau \in \hat{T}/(1-\hat{\sigma})\hat{T}$ corresponds to $\chi_\tau \in \mathrm{Hom}(X^\sigma, \mathbb{C}^*)$, where $\chi_\tau(\lambda) := \lambda(\tau)$. Therefore, we have a canonical bijection between $\hat{T}$-conjugacy classes of admissible homomorphisms $\phi : W_t \to {}^LT_\sigma$ and depth zero characters

$$\chi_\phi := \chi_s \otimes \chi_\tau \in \mathrm{Irr}(T^{\Phi_\sigma}) \tag{3}$$

where $s := \phi|_{I_t}$, $\phi(\Phi) = \hat{\sigma} \ltimes \tau$.

To get the depth zero L-packet associated to ϕ, one implements the component group

$$\mathrm{Irr}(C_\phi) \cong [X/(1 - w\theta)X]_{\mathrm{tor}}$$

as follows. We set X_w to be the preimage of $[X/(1 - w\theta)X]_{\mathrm{tor}}$ in X. To $\lambda \in X_w$, DeBacker and Reeder associate a 1-cocycle u_λ, hence a twisted Frobenius $\Phi_\lambda = Ad(u_\lambda) \circ \Phi$. Moreover, to λ, they associate a facet J_λ, and hence a parahoric G_λ associated to J_λ. Let $\mathbb{G}_\lambda := G_\lambda/G_\lambda^+$. Let W_λ be the subgroup of W^o generated by reflections in the hyperplanes containing J_λ. Then to λ, DeBacker/Reeder also associate an element $w_\lambda \in W_\lambda$. Fix once and for all a lift $\dot{w}$ of w. Using this lift, DeBacker and Reeder also associate a lift $\dot{w}_\lambda$ of w_λ to N. By Lang's theorem, there exists $p_\lambda \in G_\lambda$ such that $p_\lambda^{-1}\Phi_\lambda(p_\lambda) = \dot{w}_\lambda$. We then define $T_\lambda := Ad(p_\lambda)T$, and set $\chi_\lambda := \chi_\phi \circ Ad(p_\lambda)^{-1}$. Since χ_λ is depth zero, it gives rise to an irreducible cuspidal Deligne-Lusztig representation κ_λ^0 of $\mathbb{G}_\lambda^{F_\lambda}$. Inflate κ_λ^0 to a representation of $G_\lambda^{F_\lambda}$, and define an extension to $Z^{F_\lambda}G_\lambda^{F_\lambda}$ by

$$\kappa_\lambda := \chi_\lambda \otimes \kappa_\lambda^0$$

where Z denotes the center of G. Finally, form the representation

$$\pi_\lambda := \mathrm{Ind}_{Z^{F_\lambda}G_\lambda^{F_\lambda}}^{G^{F_\lambda}} \kappa_\lambda$$

where Ind denotes smooth induction. Then DeBacker/Reeder construct a packet $\Pi(\phi)$ of representations on the pure inner forms of G, parameterized by $\mathrm{Irr}(C_\phi)$, using the above construction.

5 Existing Description of the Tame Local Langlands Correspondence for $GL(\ell, F)$, ℓ a prime

In this chapter, we describe the construction of the tame local Langlands correspondence for $GL(\ell, F)$ as explained in [9], where ℓ is a prime.

5.1 Depth zero supercuspidal representations of $GL(\ell, F)$, ℓ a prime

Let $(E/F, \chi)$ be an admissible pair where χ has level 0 and E/F has degree ℓ. By definition of admissible pair, this implies that E/F is unramified. Then k_E/k_F is a degree ℓ extension. Moreover, since $\chi|_{1+\mathfrak{p}_E} = 1$, $\chi|_{\mathfrak{o}_E^*}$ is the inflation of the character χ_o of k_E^*. By the theory of finite groups of Lie type, this character gives rise to an irreducible cuspidal representation λ' of $GL(\ell, k_F)$, which is the irreducible cuspidal Deligne-Lusztig representation corresponding to the elliptic torus $k_E^* \subset GL(\ell, k_F)$ and the character χ_o of k_E^*. Let λ be the inflation of λ' to $GL(\ell, \mathfrak{o}_F)$. We may extend λ to a representation Λ of $K(F) := F^* GL(\ell, \mathfrak{o}_F)$ by setting $\Lambda|_{F^*} = \chi|_{F^*}$, and then induce the resulting representation to all of $G(F) = GL(\ell, F)$. Set

$$\pi_\chi = cInd_{K(F)}^{G(F)} \Lambda$$

where $cInd$ denotes compact induction. Let $\mathbb{P}_\ell(F)_0$ be the subset of admissible pairs $(E/F, \chi)$ such that χ has level zero and $\mathbb{A}_\ell^0(F)_0$ be the subset of depth zero supercuspidal representations of $GL(\ell, F)$.

Proposition 5.1. *Suppose that $p \neq \ell$. The map $(E/F, \chi) \mapsto \pi_\chi$ induces a bijection*

$$\mathbb{P}_\ell(F)_0 \to \mathbb{A}_\ell^0(F)_0$$

Proof. See [9].

□

5.2 Positive depth supercuspidal representations of $GL(\ell, F)$, ℓ a prime

In this section we recall the parameterization of the positive depth supercuspidal representions via admissible pairs, following [9]. Let $\mathbb{A}_\ell^0(F)^+$ denote the set of all positive depth irreducible supercuspidal representations of $GL(\ell, F)$, and let $\mathbb{P}_\ell(F)^+$ denote the set of all admissible pairs $(E/F, \chi) \in \mathbb{P}_\ell(F)$ such that χ has positive level.

Proposition 5.2. *Suppose that $p \neq \ell$. There is a map $(E/F, \chi) \mapsto \pi_\chi$ that induces a bijection*

$$\mathbb{P}_\ell(F)^+ \to \mathbb{A}_\ell^0(F)^+$$

Proof. See [9]. □

5.3 Langlands parameters

Let $\mathbb{G}_\ell^0(F)$ be the set of equivalence classes of irreducible smooth ℓ-dimensional representations of W_F. Recall that there is a local Artin reciprocity isomorphism given by $W_E^{ab} \cong E^*$. Then, if $(E/F, \chi) \in \mathbb{P}_\ell(F)$, χ gives rise to a character of W_E^{ab}, which we can pullback to a character, also denoted χ, of W_E. We can then form the induced representation $\phi(\chi) := Ind_{W_E}^{W_F} \chi$ of W_F.

Theorem 5.3. *Suppose $p \neq \ell$. If $(E/F, \chi) \in \mathbb{P}_\ell(F)$, the representation $\phi(\chi)$ of W_F is irreducible. The map $(E/F, \chi) \mapsto \phi(\chi)$ induces a bijection*

$$\mathbb{P}_\ell(F) \to \mathbb{G}_\ell^0(F)$$

Proof. See [9]. ☐

For the next theorem, we will need to associate to any admissible pair $(E/F, \chi) \in \mathbb{P}_\ell(F)$ a specific character Δ_χ of E^*. We will not define Δ_χ in general, but only for the cases that we need in this paper. For the general definition of Δ_χ associated to any admissible pair $(E/F, \chi) \in \mathbb{P}_\ell(F)$, see [9].

Definition 5.4. Let $(E/F, \chi)$ be an admissible pair in which E/F is quadratic and unramified. Define Δ_χ to be the unique quadratic unramified character of E^*.

Definition 5.5. Let $(E/F, \chi)$ be an admissible pair in which E/F is degree m and unramified, where m is an odd prime. Define Δ_χ to be the trivial character of E^*.

Theorem 5.6. Tame Local Langlands Correspondence

Suppose $p \neq \ell$. For $\phi \in \mathbb{G}_\ell^0(F)$, define $\pi(\phi) = \pi_{\chi\Delta_\chi}$ in the notation of Propositions 5.1 and 5.2 for any $(E/F, \chi) \in \mathbb{P}_\ell(F)$ such that $\phi \cong \phi(\chi)$. The map

$$\pi : \mathbb{G}_\ell^0(F) \to \mathbb{A}_\ell^0(F)$$

is the local Langlands correspondence for supercuspidal representations of $GL(\ell, F)$.

Proof. See [9]. ☐

6 The case of $GL(2, F)$

In this section, we consider the group $\mathbf{G}(F) = GL(2, F)$. We will show that the conjectural correspondence of [6] agrees with the local Langlands correspondence for $GL(2, F)$ given in Section 5.

Let $\phi : W_F \to {}^LG$ be a TRSELP for $\mathbf{G}(F) = GL(2, F)$. This is equivalent to ϕ being an irreducible admissible $\phi : W_F \to GL(2, \mathbb{C})$ that is trivial on the wild inertia group. By Section 5.3, we have that $\phi = Ind_{W_E}^{W_F}(\chi)$ for some admissible pair $(E/F, \chi)$, where χ has level zero and E/F is quadratic unramified. We will need the relative Weil group $W_{E/F} := W_F/[W_E, W_E]^c$ (see [13, Chapter 1]), where c denotes closure and $[W_E, W_E]$ denotes the commutator subgroup of W_E. The representation $\phi = Ind_{W_E}^{W_F}(\chi)$ factors through $W_{E/F}$, since $\phi|_{W_E} = \chi \oplus \chi^\xi$, where $\chi^\xi(z) := \chi(\xi(z))$.

We begin by calculating the character χ_ϕ from (3). Note that ${}^LG = \langle\hat{\theta}\rangle \times GL(2, \mathbb{C})$, $\hat{T}$ is the split maximal torus in $\hat{G} = GL(2, \mathbb{C})$, and after conjugation, we may assume $\phi(I_F) \subset \hat{T}$. Moreover, $\phi(\Phi) = \hat{\theta} f$ for some $f \in \hat{N}$ such that $\hat{w}$ is the nontrivial element of the Weyl group $\hat{W}_o$.

We first calculate χ_s. To do this, we must calculate the composite isomorphism

$$\mathrm{Hom}_{Ad(\Phi), \hat{\sigma}}(I_t, \hat{T}) \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathrm{Hom}_{\Phi, \hat{\sigma}}(\mathfrak{f}_2^*, \hat{T}) \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathrm{Hom}_{\Phi_\sigma, Id}(X \otimes \mathfrak{f}_2^*, \mathbb{C}^*)$$

$$\xrightarrow{\sim} \mathrm{Hom}_{\Phi_\sigma, Id}(\mathbb{T}^{\Phi_\sigma^2}, \mathbb{C}^*) \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathrm{Hom}(\mathbb{T}^{\Phi_\sigma}, \mathbb{C}^*)$$

from Section 4.

Proposition 6.1. *The isomorphism*

$$\mathrm{Hom}_{Ad(\Phi),\hat{\sigma}}(I_t, \hat{T}) \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathrm{Hom}_{\Phi,\hat{\sigma}}(\mathfrak{f}_2^*, \hat{T})$$

is given by

$$s \mapsto \tilde{\beta}_s$$

where

$$\tilde{\beta}_s(x) = \begin{pmatrix} \chi_o(x) & 0 \\ 0 & \chi_o^{\xi}(x) \end{pmatrix} \ \forall x \in \mathfrak{f}_2^*$$

and where $s = \phi|_{I_t}$, $\phi = Ind_{W_E}^{W_F}(\chi)$.

Proof. Since $\hat{\sigma}$ has order 2, $s \in \mathrm{Hom}_{Ad(\Phi),\hat{\sigma}}(I_t, \hat{T})$ is trivial on $(1 - Ad(\Phi)^2)I_t$, so factors to $I_t/(1 - Ad(\Phi)^2)I_t$. We first note that the isomorphisms

$$I_t \cong \varprojlim_{m} \mathfrak{f}_m^*, \qquad I_t/(1 - Ad(\Phi)^2)I_t \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathfrak{f}_2^*$$

are induced by local Artin Reciprocity (see [10, Chapter 5]). Moreover, the map $\mathrm{Hom}_{Ad(\Phi),\hat{\sigma}}(I_t, \hat{T}) \to \mathrm{Hom}_{\Phi,\hat{\sigma}}(\mathfrak{f}_2^*, \hat{T})$ comes from the following diagram:

$$\begin{tikzcd}
I_t \arrow[r, "s"] \arrow[d] & \hat{T} \\
I_t/(1 - Ad(\Phi)^2)I_t \arrow[ur] & \\
\mathfrak{f}_2^* \arrow[u, "\wr"] &
\end{tikzcd}$$

Recall that ϕ factors through $W_{E/F}$. Therefore, we also have the following commutative diagram.

$$\begin{tikzcd}
W_F \arrow[r, "\phi"] \arrow[d] & GL(2,\mathbb{C}) \\
W_{E/F} \arrow[ur, "\beta"] &
\end{tikzcd}$$

It is a fact that $W_{E/F}$ is an extension of $Gal(E/F)$ by E^*, and can be described by generators and relations as follows. Set theoretically, $W_{E/F} = E^* \cup jE^*$ where $j \in W_{E/F}$ is an element such that $j^2 = \varpi$ and $jzj^{-1} = \xi(z)$. Then the map $W_F \to W_{E/F}$ sends I_F to $\mathfrak{o}_E^*$ and Φ to j.

Let us calculate the map β. Consider the canonical sequence

$$1 \to W_E/[W_E, W_E]^c \to W_F/[W_E, W_E]^c \to W_F/W_E \cong Gal(E/F) \to 1$$

Recall that ϕ is trivial on $[W_E, W_E]^c$. To calculate $\beta|_{E^*}$, it suffices to calculate $\phi|_{W_E}$ since $W_E/[W_E, W_E]^c \cong E^*$ by Artin reciprocity. But $\phi|_{W_E} = \chi \oplus \chi^{\xi}$. Therefore,

$$\beta(t) = \begin{pmatrix} \chi(t) & 0 \\ 0 & \chi^{\xi}(t) \end{pmatrix} \ \forall t \in E^*$$

Moreover, since ϕ is irreducible, we have that $\beta(j) \in N_{GL(2,\mathbb{C})}(\hat{T})$ represents the nontrivial element of the Weyl group.

Since $\phi|_{I_F^+} \equiv 1$, we have that $\beta|_{1+\mathfrak{p}_E} \equiv 1$, so $\beta|_{\mathfrak{o}_E^*}$ factors to a map $\tilde{\beta}_s : \mathfrak{f}_2^* \to GL(2,\mathbb{C})$, given by

$$\tilde{\beta}_s(x) = \begin{pmatrix} \chi_o(x) & 0 \\ 0 & \chi_o^{\xi}(x) \end{pmatrix} \; \forall x \in \mathfrak{f}_2^*.$$

□

Proposition 6.2. *The composite isomorphism*

$$\mathrm{Hom}_{Ad(\Phi),\hat{\sigma}}(I_t, \hat{T}) \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathrm{Hom}_{\Phi,\hat{\sigma}}(\mathfrak{f}_2^*, \hat{T}) \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathrm{Hom}_{\Phi_\sigma,Id}(X \otimes \mathfrak{f}_2^*, \mathbb{C}^*)$$

$$\xrightarrow{\sim} \mathrm{Hom}_{\Phi_\sigma,Id}(\mathbb{T}^{\Phi_\sigma^2}, \mathbb{C}^*)$$

sends s to χ_o^E, where $\chi_o^E(x_1,x_2) := \chi_o(x_1)\chi_o^{\xi}(x_2)$ and where $s = \phi|_{I_t}, \phi = Ind_{W_E}^{W_F}(\chi)$.

Proof. Note that the composite isomorphism

$$\mathrm{Hom}_{\Phi,\hat{\sigma}}(\mathfrak{f}_2^*, \hat{T}) \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathrm{Hom}_{\Phi_\sigma,Id}(X \otimes \mathfrak{f}_2^*, \mathbb{C}^*) \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathrm{Hom}_{\Phi_\sigma,Id}(\mathbb{T}^{\Phi_\sigma^2}, \mathbb{C}^*)$$

is given by

$$\tilde{\alpha} \mapsto \{\lambda(x) \mapsto \lambda(\tilde{\alpha}(x))\}$$

where $x \in \mathfrak{f}_2^*$ and $\lambda \in X = X_*(T) = X^*(\hat{T})$. $\mathbb{T}$ splits over $\mathfrak{f}_2$ and note that $\mathbb{T}^{\Phi_\sigma^2} \cong \mathfrak{f}_2^* \times \mathfrak{f}_2^*$. Then it is easy to see that under this composite isomorphism, $\tilde{\beta}_s$ (where $\tilde{\beta}_s$ is as in Proposition 6.1) maps to the homomorphism

$$(x_1,x_2) \mapsto \chi_o(x_1)\chi_o^{\xi}(x_2) \; \forall x_1,x_2 \in \mathfrak{f}_2^*$$

by considering the canonical basis of cocharacters of X. □

Proposition 6.3. *The isomorphism* $\mathrm{Hom}_{\Phi_\sigma,Id}(\mathbb{T}^{\Phi_\sigma^2}, \mathbb{C}^*) \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathrm{Hom}(\mathbb{T}^{\Phi_\sigma}, \mathbb{C}^*)$ *is given by*

$$\mathrm{Hom}_{\Phi_\sigma,Id}(\mathbb{T}^{\Phi_\sigma^2}, \mathbb{C}^*) \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathrm{Hom}(\mathbb{T}^{\Phi_\sigma}, \mathbb{C}^*) \tag{4}$$

$$\Lambda \mapsto \Lambda'$$

where $\Lambda'(a) := \Lambda((x,y))$ for any $(x,y) \in \mathfrak{f}_2^* \times \mathfrak{f}_2^*$ such that $x\overline{y} = a,\; a \in \mathfrak{f}_2^*$.

Proof. Recall that the isomorphism $\mathrm{Hom}_{\Phi_\sigma,Id}(\mathbb{T}^{\Phi_\sigma^2}, \mathbb{C}^*) \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathrm{Hom}(\mathbb{T}^{\Phi_\sigma}, \mathbb{C}^*)$ is abstractly given by

$$\mathrm{Hom}_{\Phi_\sigma,Id}(\mathbb{T}^{\Phi_\sigma^2}, \mathbb{C}^*) \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathrm{Hom}(\mathbb{T}^{\Phi_\sigma}, \mathbb{C}^*)$$

$$\Lambda \mapsto \Lambda'$$

where $\Lambda'(a) := \Lambda((x,y))$ for any $(x,y) \in \mathfrak{f}_2^* \times \mathfrak{f}_2^*$ such that $N_\sigma((x,y)) = a$. So it remains to compute N_σ.

We need some preliminaries. First note that $\Phi_\sigma((x,y)) = w\Phi^{-1}((x,y)) = w(\overline{x},\overline{y}) = (\overline{y},\overline{x})$. Therefore, $\mathbb{T}^{\Phi_\sigma^2} = \mathfrak{f}_2^* \times \mathfrak{f}_2^*$ and $\mathbb{T}^{\Phi_\sigma} = \mathfrak{f}_2^*$. Making the identification of $\mathbb{T}^{\Phi_\sigma^2}$ with pairs $(x,y) \in \mathfrak{f}_2^* \times \mathfrak{f}_2^*$, we have that $\mathbb{T}^{\Phi_\sigma} = \{(x,y) \in \mathfrak{f}_2^* \times \mathfrak{f}_2^* : \Phi_\sigma(x,y) = (x,y)\} = \{(x,y) \in \mathfrak{f}_2^* \times \mathfrak{f}_2^* : (\overline{y},\overline{x}) = (x,y)\} = \{(x,\overline{x}) \in \mathfrak{f}_2^* \times \mathfrak{f}_2^* : x \in \mathfrak{f}_2^*\} \cong \mathfrak{f}_2^*$.

If $(x,y) \in \mathfrak{f}_2^* \times \mathfrak{f}_2^* = \mathbb{T}^{\Phi_\sigma^2}$, then

$$N_\sigma((x,y)) = (x,y)\Phi_\sigma((x,y)) = (x,y)(\overline{y},\overline{x}) = (x\overline{y}, y\overline{x}) = (s,\overline{s})$$

where $s = x\overline{y}$. Therefore, N_σ is the map

$$N_\sigma : \mathbb{T}^{\Phi_\sigma^2} \to \mathbb{T}^{\Phi_\sigma}$$

$$(x,y) \mapsto x\overline{y}, \quad \forall (x,y) \in \mathfrak{f}_2^* \times \mathfrak{f}_2^*$$

□

We now need to obtain a character of ${}^0T^{\Phi_\sigma}$ from a character of $\mathbb{T}^{\Phi_\sigma}$. In our case, ${}^0T^{\Phi_\sigma} = \mathfrak{o}_E^*$, which has a canonical projection map $\mathfrak{o}_E^* = {}^0T^{\Phi_\sigma} \xrightarrow{\eta} \mathbb{T}^{\Phi_\sigma} = \mathfrak{f}_2^*$. Then, given $\zeta \in \mathrm{Hom}(\mathbb{T}^{\Phi_\sigma}, \mathbb{C}^*)$, we obtain a character μ of ${}^0T^{\Phi_\sigma} = \mathfrak{o}_E^*$ given by $\mu(z) := \zeta(\eta(z)), z \in \mathfrak{o}_E^*$. Let us more explicitly calculate such a μ, given some $\Lambda' \in \mathrm{Hom}(\mathbb{T}^{\Phi_\sigma}, \mathbb{C}^*)$ that comes from a $\Lambda \in \mathrm{Hom}_{\Phi_\sigma, Id}(\mathbb{T}^{\Phi_\sigma^2}, \mathbb{C}^*)$ as in (4). Let $z \in \mathfrak{o}_E^*$. Then $\mu(z) = \Lambda'(\eta(z)) = \Lambda((\eta(z),1))$, by Proposition 6.3.

We may now calculate the character χ_s that arises from ϕ, where $s = \phi|_{I_t}$ and $\phi = Ind_{W_E}^{W_F}(\chi)$. The above analysis and Proposition 6.2 shows that $\chi_s(z) = \chi_o^E((\eta(z),1)) = \chi_o(\eta(z)) = \chi(z)$, where $z \in \mathfrak{o}_E^*$. It remains to compute χ_τ. First note that if we make the identification $X = \mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}$, then $X^\sigma = \{(k,k) : k \in \mathbb{Z}\}$. Let $\lambda_{(k,k)} \in X^\sigma$ denote the character of $\hat{T}$ corresponding to $(k,k) \in \mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}$ via this identification.

Proposition 6.4. *The character χ_τ is given by*

$$\chi_\tau : X^\sigma \to \mathbb{C}^*$$

$$\lambda_{(k,k)} \mapsto (-\chi(\varpi))^k$$

Proof. Note that $\hat{\theta} = 1$ and $\hat{G}' = SL(2,\mathbb{C})$, so τ is any element whose class in $\hat{T}/(1-\hat{\sigma})\hat{T}$ corresponds to the image of f in $GL(2,\mathbb{C})/SL(2,\mathbb{C})$ under the bijection

$$\hat{T}/(1-\hat{\sigma})\hat{T} \xrightarrow{\sim} GL(2,\mathbb{C})/SL(2,\mathbb{C})$$

as in (2). We thus need to compute f first.

Recall that $\phi(\Phi) = \beta(j)$, where β is as in the proof of Proposition 6.1. Recall that since ϕ is irreducible, then $\beta(j) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & a \\ b & 0 \end{pmatrix}$ for some $a, b \in \mathbb{C}^*$. After conjugation by $\hat{G}$, we may assume that $b = 1$. But since $j^2 = \varpi$, we have

$$\begin{pmatrix} \chi(\varpi) & 0 \\ 0 & \chi^\xi(\varpi) \end{pmatrix} = \beta(\varpi) = \beta(j^2) = \beta(j)^2 = \begin{pmatrix} a & 0 \\ 0 & a \end{pmatrix}$$

Therefore, $a = \chi(\varpi)$ and so $\beta(j) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \chi(\varpi) \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$. Therefore, we may take $f = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \chi(\varpi) \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$.

We now note that the bijection

$$\hat{T}/(1-\hat{\sigma})\hat{T} \xrightarrow{\sim} \hat{G}_{ab}/(1-\hat{\theta})\hat{G}_{ab}$$

is induced by the inclusion $\hat{T} \hookrightarrow \hat{G}$ (see [6, page 31]). Now, we have that

$$\left[\begin{pmatrix} -\chi(\varpi) & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}\right] = \left[\begin{pmatrix} 0 & \chi(\varpi) \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}\right] \in GL(2,\mathbb{C})/SL(2,\mathbb{C})$$

since

$$\begin{pmatrix} 0 & \chi(\varpi) \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}^{-1} \begin{pmatrix} -\chi(\varpi) & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \in SL(2,\mathbb{C})$$

Therefore, since $\begin{pmatrix} -\chi(\varpi) & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \in \hat{T}$, we may set $\tau = \begin{pmatrix} -\chi(\varpi) & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$. Then $\chi_\tau : X^\sigma \to \mathbb{C}^*$ is given by $\chi_\tau(\lambda_{(k,k)}) = \lambda_{(k,k)}(\tau) = \lambda_{(k,k)}\begin{pmatrix} -\chi(\varpi) & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} = (-\chi(\varpi))^k$. □

Recall that we have computed χ_ϕ on $\mathfrak{o}_E^*$. It remains to compute $\chi_\phi(\varpi)$. Because of the isomorphism

$$^0T^{\Phi_\sigma} \times X^\sigma \xrightarrow{\sim} T^{\Phi_\sigma}$$

$$(\gamma, \lambda) \mapsto \gamma\lambda(\varpi),$$

we need to compute $\chi_\phi(1, \lambda_{(1,1)})$. But $\chi_\phi(1, \lambda_{(1,1)}) = \chi_s(1)\chi_\tau(\lambda_{(1,1)}) = -\chi(\varpi)$. Therefore, $\chi_\phi(\varpi) = -\chi(\varpi)$.

Proposition 6.5. *$\chi_\phi = \chi\Delta_\chi$, where $\phi = Ind_{W_E}^{W_F}(\chi)$.*

Proof. Recall that we have shown that $\chi_\phi|_{\mathfrak{o}_E^*} = \chi|_{\mathfrak{o}_E^*}$ and $\chi_\phi(\varpi) = -\chi(\varpi)$. Since Δ_χ is the unique quadratic unramified character of E^*, we have that $\Delta_\chi(\varpi) = -1$ and $\Delta_\chi|_{\mathfrak{o}_E^*} \equiv 1$, so $\chi_\phi = \chi\Delta_\chi$. □

7 Getting from character of torus to representation for $GL(2, F)$

In this section we determine the packet of representations that DeBacker/Reeder assign to a TRSELP for $GL(2, F)$, using the results from Section 6.

Let us write $E = F(\delta)$ where $\delta = \sqrt{\Delta}$ for some non-square $\Delta \in \mathfrak{o}_F^*$. Note that $[X/(1 - w\theta)X]_{\text{tor}} = 0$, so we may let $\lambda = 0$ (recall that $\lambda \in X_w$). The proof of [6, Lemma 2.7.2] implies that we may take $u_\lambda = 1$, and therefore $\Phi_\lambda = \Phi$. It is also easy to see that we may take $w_\lambda = w$ (see [6, Section 2.7]) and $\dot{w}_\lambda = \dot{w}$.

Fix once and for all the lift

$$\dot{w} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

of w as in [6, pages 18,32]. Recall that we need a $p_\lambda \in G_\lambda$ such that $p_\lambda^{-1}\Phi_\lambda(p_\lambda) = \dot{w}_\lambda$. We may set

$$p_\lambda = \begin{pmatrix} -1 & -1 \\ -\delta & \delta \end{pmatrix}$$

since we then have $p_\lambda^{-1}\Phi_\lambda(p_\lambda) = \dot{w}$ since

$$\Phi\left(\begin{pmatrix} -1 & -1 \\ -\delta & \delta \end{pmatrix}\right) = \begin{pmatrix} -1 & -1 \\ \delta & -\delta \end{pmatrix}$$

.

Now, we define $T_\lambda := Ad(p_\lambda)T$. On the level of $T(E)$, we have

$$T(E) = \left\{ \left(\begin{array}{cc} x & 0 \\ 0 & y \end{array} \right) : x, y \in E^* \right\}.$$

Note that

$$T^{\Phi_w} = \left\{ \left(\begin{array}{cc} x & 0 \\ 0 & \overline{x} \end{array} \right) : x \in E^* \right\}$$

We compute:

$$p_\lambda \left(\begin{array}{cc} x & 0 \\ 0 & y \end{array} \right) p_\lambda^{-1} = \left(\begin{array}{cc} \frac{x+y}{2} & \delta^{-1}\left(\frac{x-y}{2}\right) \\ \delta\left(\frac{x-y}{2}\right) & \frac{x+y}{2} \end{array} \right)$$

Then

$$T_\lambda^{\Phi_\lambda} = \left\{ \left(\begin{array}{cc} a & b \\ b\Delta & a \end{array} \right) \in GL(2,E) : \Phi \left(\begin{array}{cc} a & b \\ b\Delta & a \end{array} \right) = \left(\begin{array}{cc} a & b \\ b\Delta & a \end{array} \right) \right\} =$$

$$\left\{ \left(\begin{array}{cc} a & b \\ b\Delta & a \end{array} \right) : a, b \in F, a, b \text{ not both zero} \right\}$$

Note that we now have a simple description for the map $Ad(p_\lambda)^{-1}$:

$$T_\lambda^{\Phi_\lambda} \xrightarrow{Ad(p_\lambda)^{-1}} T^{\Phi_w}$$

$$\left(\begin{array}{cc} a & b \\ b\Delta & a \end{array} \right) \mapsto \left(\begin{array}{cc} a + b\delta & 0 \\ 0 & a - b\delta \end{array} \right)$$

Proposition 7.1. χ_λ *is given by*

$$\chi_\lambda \left(\begin{array}{cc} a & b \\ b\Delta & a \end{array} \right) = (\chi\Delta_\chi)(t), \ \forall t = a + b\delta \in E^*$$

where χ_λ *is as in Section 4.*

Proof. Recall that $\chi_\lambda := \chi_\phi \circ Ad(p_\lambda)^{-1}$. Thus, the character χ_λ is given by

$$\left(\begin{array}{cc} a & b \\ b\Delta & a \end{array} \right) \mapsto \left(\begin{array}{cc} a + b\delta & 0 \\ 0 & a - b\delta \end{array} \right) \mapsto \chi_\phi \left(\begin{array}{cc} a + b\delta & 0 \\ 0 & a - b\delta \end{array} \right)$$

Write $t := a + b\delta = \varpi^n u, u \in \mathfrak{o}_E^*$, so $\overline{t} = a - b\delta = \varpi^n \overline{u}$. Recalling the isomorphism

$${}^0T^{\Phi_\sigma} \times X^\sigma \overset{\sim}{\to} T^{\Phi_\sigma}$$

$$(\gamma, \lambda) \mapsto \gamma\lambda(\varpi),$$

we get that

$$\chi_\phi \left(\begin{array}{cc} a + b\delta & 0 \\ 0 & a - b\delta \end{array} \right) = \chi_s \left(\begin{array}{cc} u & 0 \\ 0 & \overline{u} \end{array} \right) \chi_\tau(\lambda_{(n,n)}) = \chi(u)(-\chi(\varpi)^n).$$

That is,

$$\chi_\phi \left(\begin{array}{cc} a + b\delta & 0 \\ 0 & a - b\delta \end{array} \right) = (\chi\Delta_\chi)(t).$$

□

Let us sum up the data that we have obtained so far. Given a TRSELP ϕ for $GL(2,F)$, we have obtained a torus T^{Φ_w}. Given $\lambda = 0 \in X_w$, we have constructed $T_\lambda^{\Phi_\lambda}$ and p_λ. We have $T_\lambda^{\Phi_\lambda} \cong E^*$. From ϕ we have constructed a character χ_ϕ of T^{Φ_w}. Via $Ad(p_\lambda)$, we transported χ_ϕ to a character χ_λ of $T_\lambda^{\Phi_\lambda}$. We have shown that $\chi_\lambda = \chi\Delta_\chi$. Note that the restriction of χ_λ to ${}^0T_\lambda^{\Phi_\lambda}$ factors through a character χ_λ^0 of $\mathbb{T}_\lambda^{\Phi_\lambda}$. Then, the packet of representations that DeBacker/Reeder construct in [6] from this data is the single representation

$$Ind_{F^*GL(2,\mathfrak{o}_F)}^{GL(2,F)}(\chi_\lambda \otimes \kappa_\lambda^0)$$

Recall that in Section 5.3, the local Langlands correspondence was given as

$$Ind_{W_E}^{W_F}(\chi) \mapsto \pi_{\chi\Delta_\chi}$$

We have therefore shown that the local Langlands correspondence of DeBacker/Reeder is given by

$$Ind_{W_E}^{W_F}(\chi) \mapsto \pi_{\chi\Delta_\chi}$$

8 The case of $GL(m,F)$, m an odd prime

For section 8 and section 9, we consider the group $\mathbf{G}(F) = GL(m,F)$, where m is an odd prime. We will show that the conjectural correspondence of [6] agrees with the local Langlands correspondence for $GL(m,F)$ given in Section 5.

Let $\phi : W_F \to {}^LG$ be a TRSELP for $\mathbf{G}(F) = GL(m,F)$. This is equivalent to an irreducible admissible $\phi : W_F \to GL(m,\mathbb{C})$ that is trivial on the wild inertia group. By Section 5.3, have that $\phi = Ind_{W_E}^{W_F}(\chi)$ for some admissible pair $(E/F,\chi)$, where χ has level zero and E/F is degree m and unramified. As in the case of $GL(2,F)$, the representation $\phi = Ind_{W_E}^{W_F}(\chi)$ factors through $W_{E/F}$.

We begin by calculating the character χ_ϕ from (3). Note that ${}^LG = \langle\hat{\theta}\rangle \times GL(m,\mathbb{C})$. $\hat{T}$ is the split maximal torus in $\hat{G} = GL(m,\mathbb{C})$, and after conjugation, we may assume $\phi(I_F) \subset \hat{T}$. Moreover, $\phi(\Phi) = \hat{\theta}f$ for some $f \in \hat{N}$ such that $\hat{w}$ is a cycle of length m in the Weyl group S_m, the symmetric group on m letters. The reason for this requirement on the Weyl group element is that ϕ is TRSELP and so in particular it is elliptic. In particular, ellipticity is equivalent to requiring that the image of ϕ is not contained in any proper Levi subgroup of ${}^LG$ (see [6, page 25]). After conjugating the TRSELP by a permutation matrix in $N_{\hat{G}}(\hat{T})$, we may assume without loss of generality that $\hat{w} = (1\ 2\ 3\ \cdots\ m) \in S_m$ since all cycles of length m are conjugate in S_m. Note that this choice implies that $w = (1\ 2\ 3\ \cdots\ m) \in S_m$. The arguments in the remainder of the paper are the same for all other allowable choices of $\hat{w}$.

Let us first calculate χ_s, where $s := \phi|_{I_t}$ (recall again that $\phi|_{I_F^+} \equiv 1$, so $\phi|_{I_F}$ factors to I_t). As in Proposition 6.1, we have the following proposition, whose proof is analogous.

Proposition 8.1. *The isomorphism*

$$\mathrm{Hom}_{Ad(\Phi),\hat{\sigma}}(I_t,\hat{T}) \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathrm{Hom}_{\Phi,\hat{\sigma}}(\mathfrak{f}_m^*,\hat{T})$$

is given by

$$s \mapsto \tilde{\beta}_s$$

where

$$\tilde{\beta}_s(x) = \begin{pmatrix} \chi_o(x) & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ 0 & \chi_o^{\xi}(x) & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \chi_o^{\xi^2}(x) & \cdots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & \chi_o^{\xi^{m-1}}(x) \end{pmatrix}$$

Proposition 8.2. *The composite isomorphism*

$$\mathrm{Hom}_{Ad(\Phi),\hat{\sigma}}(I_t, \hat{T}) \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathrm{Hom}_{\Phi,\hat{\sigma}}(\mathfrak{f}_m^*, \hat{T}) \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathrm{Hom}_{\Phi_\sigma, Id}(X \otimes \mathfrak{f}_m^*, \mathbb{C}^*)$$

$$\xrightarrow{\sim} \mathrm{Hom}_{\Phi_\sigma, Id}(\mathbb{T}^{\Phi_\sigma^m}, \mathbb{C}^*)$$

sends s *to* ${}^m\chi_o^E$*, where* ${}^m\chi_o^E(x_1, x_2, \cdots, x_m) := \chi_o(x_1)\chi_o^{\xi}(x_2)\cdots\chi_o^{\xi^{m-1}}(x_m)$ *and where* $s = \phi|_{I_t}, \phi = Ind_{W_E}^{W_F}(\chi)$.

Proof. The composite isomorphism

$$\mathrm{Hom}_{\Phi,\hat{\sigma}}(\mathfrak{f}_m^*, \hat{T}) \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathrm{Hom}_{\Phi_\sigma, Id}(X \otimes \mathfrak{f}_m^*, \mathbb{C}^*) \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathrm{Hom}_{\Phi_\sigma, Id}(\mathbb{T}^{\Phi_\sigma^m}, \mathbb{C}^*)$$

is given by

$$\tilde{\alpha} \mapsto \{\lambda(x) \mapsto \lambda(\tilde{\alpha}(x))\}$$

where $x \in \mathfrak{f}_m^*$ and $\lambda \in X = X_*(T) = X^*(\hat{T})$. $\mathbb{T}$ splits over $\mathfrak{f}_m$ and note that $\mathbb{T}^{\Phi_\sigma^m} \cong \mathfrak{f}_m^* \times ... \times \mathfrak{f}_m^*$. Then, it is easy to see that under this composite isomorphism, $\tilde{\beta}_s$ (where $\tilde{\beta}_s$ is as in Proposition 8.1) maps to the homomorphism

$$(x_1, x_2, ..., x_m) \mapsto \chi_o(x_1)\chi_o^{\xi}(x_2)\cdots\chi_o^{\xi^{m-1}}(x_m)\ \forall x_1, x_2, ..., x_m \in \mathfrak{f}_m^*.$$

by considering the canonical basis of cocharacters of X. ∎

Proposition 8.3. *The isomorphism* $\mathrm{Hom}_{\Phi_\sigma, Id}(\mathbb{T}^{\Phi_\sigma^m}, \mathbb{C}^*) \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathrm{Hom}(\mathbb{T}^{\Phi_\sigma}, \mathbb{C}^*)$ *is given by*

$$\mathrm{Hom}_{\Phi_\sigma, Id}(\mathbb{T}^{\Phi_\sigma^m}, \mathbb{C}^*) \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathrm{Hom}(\mathbb{T}^{\Phi_\sigma}, \mathbb{C}^*) \tag{5}$$

$$\Lambda \mapsto \Lambda'$$

where $\Lambda'(a) := \Lambda((x_1, x_2, \cdots, x_m))$ *for any* $(x_1, x_2, \cdots, x_m) \in \mathfrak{f}_m^* \times \mathfrak{f}_m^* \times \cdots \times \mathfrak{f}_m^*$ *such that* $x_1 x_2^{q^{m-1}} x_3^{q^{m-2}} \cdots x_m^q = a$*, where* $a \in \mathfrak{f}_m^*$.

Proof. Recall that the isomorphism $\mathrm{Hom}_{\Phi_\sigma, Id}(\mathbb{T}^{\Phi_\sigma^m}, \mathbb{C}^*) \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathrm{Hom}(\mathbb{T}^{\Phi_\sigma}, \mathbb{C}^*)$ is abstractly given by

$$\mathrm{Hom}_{\Phi_\sigma, Id}(\mathbb{T}^{\Phi_\sigma^m}, \mathbb{C}^*) \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathrm{Hom}(\mathbb{T}^{\Phi_\sigma}, \mathbb{C}^*)$$

$$\Lambda \mapsto \Lambda'$$

where $\Lambda'(a) := \Lambda((x_1, x_2, ..., x_m))$ for any $(x_1, x_2, ..., x_m) \in \mathfrak{f}_m^* \times \mathfrak{f}_m^* \times \cdots \times \mathfrak{f}_m^*$ such that $N_\sigma((x_1, x_2, ..., x_m)) = a$.

We need some preliminaries. First note that $\Phi_\sigma((x_1, x_2, ..., x_m)) = w\Phi^{-1}((x_1, x_2, ..., x_m)) = w(x_1^q, x_2^q, ..., x_m^q) = (x_m^q, x_1^q, x_2^q, ..., x_{m-1}^q)$. Therefore, $\mathbb{T}^{\Phi_\sigma^m} = \mathfrak{f}_m^* \times \mathfrak{f}_m^* \times \cdots \times \mathfrak{f}_m^*$ (an m-fold product) and $\mathbb{T}^{\Phi_\sigma} = \mathfrak{f}_m^*$.

If we make the identification of $\mathbb{T}^{\Phi_\sigma^m}$ with tuples $(x_1, x_2, ..., x_m) \in \mathfrak{f}_m^* \times \mathfrak{f}_m^* \times \cdots \times \mathfrak{f}_m^*$, then we have that since we made our choice of $w = (1\ 2\ 3\ \cdots\ m) \in S_m$, we get $\mathbb{T}^{\Phi_\sigma} = \{(x_1, x_2, ..., x_m) \in \mathfrak{f}_m^* \times \mathfrak{f}_m^* \times \cdots \times \mathfrak{f}_m^* : (x_m^q, x_1^q, x_2^q, \cdots, x_{m-1}^q) = (x_1, x_2, ..., x_m)\} = \{(x_1, x_1^q, x_1^{q^2}, \cdots, x_1^{q^{m-1}}) : x_1 \in \mathfrak{f}_m\}$. If $(x_1, x_2, ..., x_m) \in \mathfrak{f}_m^* \times \mathfrak{f}_m^* \times \cdots \times \mathfrak{f}_m^* = \mathbb{T}^{\Phi_\sigma^m}$, then

$$N_\sigma((x_1, x_2, ..., x_m)) = (x_1, x_2, ..., x_m)\Phi_\sigma((x_1, x_2, ..., x_m))\Phi_\sigma^2((x_1, x_2, ..., x_m)) \cdots \Phi_\sigma^{m-1}((x_1, x_2, ..., x_m)) =$$

$$(x_1, x_2, ..., x_m)(x_m^q, x_1^q, x_2^q, \cdots, x_{m-1}^q)(x_{m-1}^{q^2}, x_m^{q^2}, x_1^{q^2}, \cdots, x_{m-2}^{q^2}) \cdots (x_2^{q^{m-1}}, x_3^{q^{m-1}}, \cdots, x_m^{q^{m-1}}, x_1^{q^{m-1}}) =$$

$$(x_1 x_2^{q^{m-1}} x_3^{q^{m-2}} \cdots x_m^q, x_2 x_3^{q^{m-1}} x_4^{q^{m-2}} \cdots x_1^q, \cdots, x_m x_1^{q^{m-1}} x_2^{q^{m-2}} \cdots x_{m-1}^q)$$

Therefore, N_σ is the map

$$N_\sigma : \mathbb{T}^{\Phi_\sigma^m} \to \mathbb{T}^{\Phi_\sigma}$$

$$(x_1, x_2, ..., x_m) \mapsto x_1 x_2^{q^{m-1}} x_3^{q^{m-2}} \cdots x_m^q, \quad \forall (x_1, x_2, ..., x_m) \in \mathfrak{f}_m^* \times \mathfrak{f}_m^* \times \cdots \times \mathfrak{f}_m^*$$

□

We now need to obtain a character of ${}^0T^{\Phi_\sigma}$ from a character of $\mathbb{T}^{\Phi_\sigma}$. In our case, ${}^0T^{\Phi_\sigma} = \mathfrak{o}_E^*$, which has a canonical projection map $\mathfrak{o}_E^* = {}^0T^{\Phi_\sigma} \xrightarrow{\eta} \mathbb{T}^{\Phi_\sigma} = \mathfrak{f}_m^*$. Then, given $\zeta \in \mathrm{Hom}(\mathbb{T}^{\Phi_\sigma}, \mathbb{C}^*)$, we obtain a character μ of ${}^0T^{\Phi_\sigma} = \mathfrak{o}_E^*$ given by $\mu(z) := \zeta(\eta(z)), z \in \mathfrak{o}_E^*$. Let us more explicitly calculate such a μ, given some $\Lambda' \in \mathrm{Hom}(\mathbb{T}^{\Phi_\sigma}, \mathbb{C}^*)$ that comes from a $\Lambda \in \mathrm{Hom}_{\Phi_\sigma, Id}(\mathbb{T}^{\Phi_\sigma^2}, \mathbb{C}^*)$ as in (5). Let $z \in \mathfrak{o}_E^*$. Then $\mu(z) = \Lambda'(\eta(z)) = \Lambda((\eta(z), 1, 1, \cdots, 1))$, by Proposition 8.3.

We may now calculate the character χ_s that arises from ϕ, where $s = \phi|_{I_t}$ and $\phi = Ind_{W_E}^{W_F}(\chi)$. The above analysis and Proposition 8.2 shows that $\chi_s(z) = {}^m\chi_o^E((\eta(z), 1, 1, \cdots, 1)) = \chi_o(\eta(z)) = \chi(z)$, where $z \in \mathfrak{o}_E^*$. It remains to compute χ_τ. First note that if we make the identification $X = \mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z} \times \cdots \times \mathbb{Z}$, then $X^\sigma = \{(k, k, \cdots, k) : k \in \mathbb{Z}\}$. Let $\lambda_{(k,k,\cdots,k)} \in X^\sigma$ denote the character of $\hat{T}$ corresponding to $(k, k, \cdots, k) \in \mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z} \times \cdots \times \mathbb{Z}$ via this identification.

Proposition 8.4. *The character* χ_τ *is given by*

$$\chi_\tau : X^\sigma \to \mathbb{C}^*$$

$$\lambda_{(k,k,\cdots,k)} \mapsto \chi(\varpi)^k$$

Proof. Note that $\hat{\theta} = 1$ and $\hat{G}' = SL(m, \mathbb{C})$, so τ is any element whose class in $\hat{T}/(1 - \hat{\sigma})\hat{T}$ corresponds to the image of f in $GL(m, \mathbb{C})/SL(m, \mathbb{C})$ under the bijection

$$\hat{T}/(1 - \hat{\sigma})\hat{T} \xrightarrow{\sim} GL(m, \mathbb{C})/SL(m, \mathbb{C})$$

as in (2). We thus need to compute f first.

Recall that ϕ factors through $W_{E/F}$, and we have the commutative diagram

$$\begin{tikzcd}
W_F \arrow[r, "\phi"] \arrow[d] & GL(m, \mathbb{C}) \\
W_{E/F} \arrow[ur, "\beta"] &
\end{tikzcd}$$

as in Proposition 6.1. It is a fact that $W_{E/F}$ is generated by E^* and an element j, with one of the relations being $j^m = \varpi$. As in Proposition 6.1, $\phi(\Phi) = \beta(j)$. To compute $\beta(j)$, recall that because of our choice of $\hat{w}$, we have

$$\beta(j) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & a_1 \\ a_2 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ 0 & a_3 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & \cdots & a_m & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

for some $a_i \in \mathbb{C}^*$. After conjugation the Langlands parameter by an element in $\hat{G}$ of the form

$$\begin{pmatrix} 0 & x_2 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & x_3 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & x_4 & \cdots & \vdots \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & x_m \\ x_1 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix},$$

we may assume that $a_2 = a_3 = \cdots = a_m = 1$. Therefore,

$$\begin{pmatrix} \chi(\varpi) & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ 0 & \chi^{\xi}(\varpi) & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \chi^{\xi^2}(\varpi) & \cdots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & \chi^{\xi^{m-1}}(\varpi) \end{pmatrix} = \beta(\varpi) = \beta(j^m) =$$

$$\beta(j)^m = \begin{pmatrix} a_1 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ 0 & a_1 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & a_1 & \cdots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & a_1 \end{pmatrix}$$

Therefore, $a_1 = \chi(\varpi)$ and so we may take

$$f = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & \chi(\varpi) \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & \cdots & 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

Now, we have that

$$\left[\begin{pmatrix} \chi(\varpi) & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & \cdots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}\right] = \left[\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & \chi(\varpi) \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & \cdots & 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}\right] \in GL(m, \mathbb{C})/SL(m, \mathbb{C})$$

since

$$\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & \chi(\varpi) \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & \cdots & 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}^{-1} \begin{pmatrix} \chi(\varpi) & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & \cdots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & \cdots & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \ddots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & 1 \\ 1 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix},$$

which is an element of $SL(m, \mathbb{C})$. Therefore, we may set

$$\tau = \begin{pmatrix} \chi(\varpi) & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & \cdots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

Then $\chi_\tau : X^\sigma \to \mathbb{C}^*$ is given by

$$\chi_\tau(\lambda_{(k,k,\cdots,k)}) = \lambda_{(k,k,\cdots,k)}(\tau) = (\chi(\varpi))^k$$

□

Recall that we have computed χ_ϕ on $\mathfrak{o}_E^*$. It remains to compute $\chi_\phi(\varpi)$. Because of the isomorphism

$${}^0T^{\Phi_\sigma} \times X^\sigma \xrightarrow{\sim} T^{\Phi_\sigma}$$

$$(\gamma, \lambda) \mapsto \gamma\lambda(\varpi),$$

we need to compute $\chi_\phi(1, \lambda_{(1,1,\cdots,1)})$. But $\chi_\phi(1, \lambda_{(1,1,\cdots,1)}) = \chi_s(1)\chi_\tau(\lambda_{(1,1,\cdots,1)}) = \chi(\varpi)$. Therefore, $\chi_\phi(\varpi) = \chi(\varpi)$.

Proposition 8.5. $\chi_\phi = \chi\Delta_\chi$.

Proof. Recall that we have shown that $\chi_\phi|_{\mathfrak{o}_E^*} = \chi|_{\mathfrak{o}_E^*}$ and $\chi_\phi(\varpi) = \chi(\varpi)$. Therefore, $\chi_\phi = \chi$. But recall that Δ_χ is trivial since m is an odd prime, so we have $\chi_\phi = \chi\Delta_\chi$. □

9 Getting from character of torus to representation for $GL(m, F)$, where m is an odd prime

In this section we determine the packet of representations that DeBacker/Reeder assign to a TRSELP for $GL(m, F)$, using the results from Section 8.

Let us write $E = F(\delta)$ where $\delta = \sqrt[m]{\Delta}$ for some $\Delta \in F^*$. Note that $[X/(1 - w\theta)X]_{\text{tor}} = 0$, so we may let $\lambda = 0$ (recall that $\lambda \in X_w$). Again, as in the case of $GL(2, F)$, we may take $u_\lambda = 1$ (so that $\Phi_\lambda = \Phi$), $w_\lambda = w$, and $\dot{w}_\lambda = \dot{w}$, where $\dot{w}$ is a fixed choice of lift of w. Since the theory of [6] is independent of any choices, we are free to choose a specific lift $\dot{w}$, which we do now. First set

$$\tilde{w} := \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 1 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & \cdots & 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

Recall that we need to find $p_\lambda \in G_\lambda$ such that $p_\lambda^{-1}\Phi(p_\lambda) = \dot{w}_\lambda$. Via the standard action of E^* on F^m, by choosing the basis $1, \delta, \delta^2, \cdots, \delta^{m-1}$ for E over F, we may embed E^* into $GL(m, F)$ as

$$E^* \hookrightarrow GL(m, F)$$

$$a_0 + a_1\delta + a_2\delta^2 + \cdots + a_{m-1}\delta^{m-1} \mapsto \begin{pmatrix} a_0 & a_{m-1}\Delta & a_{m-2}\Delta & a_{m-3}\Delta & \cdots & a_1\Delta \\ a_1 & a_0 & a_{m-1}\Delta & a_{m-2}\Delta & \cdots & a_2\Delta \\ a_2 & a_1 & a_0 & a_{m-1}\Delta & \cdots & a_3\Delta \\ a_3 & a_2 & a_1 & a_0 & \cdots & a_4\Delta \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ a_{m-1} & a_{m-2} & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & a_0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad a_i \in F$$

Lemma 9.1. *There exists an $A \in G_\lambda$ such that*

$$A \begin{pmatrix} t & 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ 0 & \xi(t) & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \xi^2(t) & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \xi^3(t) & \cdots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 & \xi^{m-1}(t) \end{pmatrix} A^{-1} =$$

$$\begin{pmatrix} a_0 & a_{m-1}\Delta & a_{m-2}\Delta & a_{m-3}\Delta & \cdots & a_1\Delta \\ a_1 & a_0 & a_{m-1}\Delta & a_{m-2}\Delta & \cdots & a_2\Delta \\ a_2 & a_1 & a_0 & a_{m-1}\Delta & \cdots & a_3\Delta \\ a_3 & a_2 & a_1 & a_0 & \cdots & a_4\Delta \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ a_{m-1} & a_{m-2} & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & a_0 \end{pmatrix}$$

for all $t = a_0 + a_1\delta + a_2\delta^2 + \cdots + a_{m-1}\delta^{m-1} \in E^*$, $a_i \in F$.

Proof. Suppose $R(x)$ is a polynomial of degree n in $F[x]$ that splits over E. Then we get an isomorphism

$$E[x]/(R(x)) \xrightarrow{\sim} \oplus_{i=1}^n E$$

$$p(x) \mapsto (p(a_1), p(a_2), ..., p(a_n))$$

where a_i are the roots of $R(x)$. Letting $R(x) = x^m - \Delta$, and considering the basis $1, x, x^2, ..., x^{m-1}$ of $E[x]/(x^m - \Delta)$, we get

$$E[x]/(x^m - \Delta) \xrightarrow{\sim} E \oplus E \oplus ... \oplus E$$

$$1 \mapsto (1, 1, 1, ..., 1)$$

$$
\begin{gathered}
x \mapsto (\delta, \Phi(\delta), \Phi^2(\delta), ..., \Phi^{m-1}(\delta)) \\
x^2 \mapsto (\delta^2, \Phi(\delta)^2, \Phi^2(\delta)^2, ..., \Phi^{m-1}(\delta)^2) \\
... \\
x^{m-1} \mapsto (\delta^{m-1}, \Phi(\delta)^{m-1}, \Phi^2(\delta)^{m-1}, ..., \Phi^{m-1}(\delta)^{m-1})
\end{gathered}
$$

This transformation yields the matrix

$$
\begin{pmatrix}
1 & \delta & \delta^2 & \delta^3 & \cdots & \delta^{m-1} \\
1 & \Phi(\delta) & \Phi(\delta)^2 & \Phi(\delta)^3 & \cdots & \Phi(\delta)^{m-1} \\
1 & \Phi^2(\delta) & \Phi^2(\delta)^2 & \Phi^2(\delta)^3 & \cdots & \Phi^2(\delta)^{m-1} \\
1 & \Phi^3(\delta) & \Phi^3(\delta)^2 & \Phi^3(\delta)^3 & \cdots & \Phi^3(\delta)^{m-1} \\
\vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\
1 & \Phi^{m-1}(\delta) & \Phi^{m-1}(\delta)^2 & \Phi^{m-1}(\delta)^3 & \cdots & \Phi^{m-1}(\delta)^{m-1}
\end{pmatrix}
$$

which is the inverse of the desired matrix A. Note that this is a Vandermonde matrix, whose entries are in $\mathfrak{o}_E^*$. Therefore, its determinant is $\prod_{0\leq i<j\leq m-1}(\Phi^j(\delta)-\Phi^i(\delta))$, which equals $\delta^{\frac{(m-1)(m)}{2}}\prod_{0\leq i<j\leq m-1}(\Upsilon^j-\Upsilon^i)=\Delta^{\frac{m-1}{2}}\prod_{0\leq i<j\leq m-1}(\Upsilon^j-\Upsilon^i)$, where Υ is a primitive m^{th} root of unity. It's clear that $\Delta^{\frac{m-1}{2}}\prod_{0\leq i<j\leq m-1}(\Upsilon^j-\Upsilon^i)$ is an element of $\mathfrak{o}_E^*$. As remarked before, the sought matrix A is the inverse of the above Vandermonde matrix. $\square$

Set $\tilde{s}=\tilde{w}^{-1}A^{-1}\Phi(A)$. We now fix our choice of lift $\dot{w}$ of w by setting $\dot{w}:=\tilde{s}^{-1}\tilde{w}\tilde{s}\Phi(\tilde{s})$, which we shall show is a legitimate lift. We claim first that we may set $p_\lambda=A\tilde{s}$, and that $\tilde{s}\in G_\lambda\cap T$. Note that since we will show that $\tilde{s}\in G_\lambda\cap T$, this shows that $p_\lambda\in G_\lambda$, which is required. To prove all of this, consider the adjoint action of $A^{-1}\Phi(A)$ on T. First, for $s\in T^{\Phi_w}$, we have

$$(A^{-1}\Phi(A))\cdot\Phi(s)=A^{-1}\Phi(A)\Phi(s)\Phi(A)^{-1}A=A^{-1}\Phi(AsA^{-1})A=A^{-1}AsA^{-1}A=s$$

since Lemma 9.1 implies that AsA^{-1} is fixed by Φ.

We therefore have that $(A^{-1}\Phi(A))\cdot\Phi(s)=w\cdot\Phi(s)\ \forall s\in T^{\Phi_w}$. Since T^{Φ_w} is dense in T, we have that $(A^{-1}\Phi(A))\cdot\Phi(s)=w\cdot\Phi(s)\ \forall s\in T$. This implies that $(\tilde{w}^{-1}A^{-1}\Phi(A))\cdot s=s\ \forall s\in T$ since $\tilde{w}$ is clearly a lift of w, which means that $\tilde{w}^{-1}A^{-1}\Phi(A)s(\tilde{w}^{-1}A^{-1}\Phi(A))^{-1}=s\ \forall s\in T$. This means that $\tilde{w}^{-1}A^{-1}\Phi(A)\in C_G(T)=T$, so in particular $\tilde{w}^{-1}A^{-1}\Phi(A)=\tilde{s}\in T$. But $A,\tilde{w}\in G_\lambda$ implies that $\tilde{w}^{-1}A^{-1}\Phi(A)\in G_\lambda$, which implies that $\tilde{s}\in G_\lambda\cap T$. This shows that $p_\lambda\in G_\lambda$, which is required. Moreover, $p_\lambda^{-1}\Phi(p_\lambda)=(A\tilde{s})^{-1}\Phi(A\tilde{s})=\tilde{s}^{-1}A^{-1}\Phi(A)\Phi(\tilde{s})=\tilde{s}^{-1}\tilde{w}\tilde{s}\Phi(\tilde{s})=\dot{w}$. Finally, $\dot{w}$ is a lift of w since $\tilde{w}$ is, and since $\tilde{s}\in T$ (thus, they represent the same Weyl group element), proving the claim.

Thus, as in the case of $GL(2,F)$, we have a p_λ such that $p_\lambda^{-1}\Phi_\lambda(p_\lambda)=\dot{w}$, and $\dot{w}$ is indeed a lift of w. Then if we define $T_\lambda:=Ad(p_\lambda)T$, we get

$$
T_\lambda^{\Phi_\lambda}=\left\{\begin{pmatrix}
a_0 & a_{m-1}\Delta & a_{m-2}\Delta & a_{m-3}\Delta & \cdots & a_1\Delta \\
a_1 & a_0 & a_{m-1}\Delta & a_{m-2}\Delta & \cdots & a_2\Delta \\
a_2 & a_1 & a_0 & a_{m-1}\Delta & \cdots & a_3\Delta \\
a_3 & a_2 & a_1 & a_0 & \cdots & a_4\Delta \\
\vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\
a_{m-1} & a_{m-2} & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & a_0
\end{pmatrix} : \quad a_i\in F\right\}
$$

Note that we have a simple description for the map $Ad(p_\lambda)^{-1}$.

$$T_\lambda^{\Phi_\lambda} \xrightarrow{Ad(p_\lambda)^{-1}} T^{\Phi_w}$$

$$\begin{pmatrix} a_0 & a_{m-1}\Delta & a_{m-2}\Delta & a_{m-3}\Delta & \cdots & a_1\Delta \\ a_1 & a_0 & a_{m-1}\Delta & a_{m-2}\Delta & \cdots & a_2\Delta \\ a_2 & a_1 & a_0 & a_{m-1}\Delta & \cdots & a_3\Delta \\ a_3 & a_2 & a_1 & a_0 & \cdots & a_4\Delta \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ a_{m-1} & a_{m-2} & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & a_0 \end{pmatrix} \mapsto \begin{pmatrix} t & 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ 0 & \xi(t) & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \xi^2(t) & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \xi^3(t) & \cdots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 & \xi^{m-1}(t) \end{pmatrix}$$

where $t = a_0 + a_1\delta + a_2\delta^2 + \cdots + a_{m-1}\delta^{m-1}$. Note that

$$T^{\Phi_w} = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} a_0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ 0 & \xi(a_0) & \cdots & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \cdots & \xi^{m-1}(a_0) \end{pmatrix} : a_0 \in E^* \right\}$$

Proposition 9.2. *χ_λ is given by*

$$\chi_\lambda \begin{pmatrix} a_0 & a_{m-1}\Delta & a_{m-2}\Delta & a_{m-3}\Delta & \cdots & a_1\Delta \\ a_1 & a_0 & a_{m-1}\Delta & a_{m-2}\Delta & \cdots & a_2\Delta \\ a_2 & a_1 & a_0 & a_{m-1}\Delta & \cdots & a_3\Delta \\ a_3 & a_2 & a_1 & a_0 & \cdots & a_4\Delta \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ a_{m-1} & a_{m-2} & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & a_0 \end{pmatrix} = \chi(t)$$

for all $t = a_0 + a_1\delta + a_2\delta^2 + ... + a_{m-1}\delta^{m-1} \in E^*$, where χ_λ is given as in Section 4.

Proof. Recall that $\chi_\lambda := \chi_\phi \circ Ad(p_\lambda)^{-1}$. Then, because we have that $p_\lambda = A\tilde{s}$ and $\tilde{s} \in T$, we get that the character χ_λ is given by

$$\begin{pmatrix} a_0 & a_{m-1}\Delta & a_{m-2}\Delta & a_{m-3}\Delta & \cdots & a_1\Delta \\ a_1 & a_0 & a_{m-1}\Delta & a_{m-2}\Delta & \cdots & a_2\Delta \\ a_2 & a_1 & a_0 & a_{m-1}\Delta & \cdots & a_3\Delta \\ a_3 & a_2 & a_1 & a_0 & \cdots & a_4\Delta \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ a_{m-1} & a_{m-2} & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & a_0 \end{pmatrix} \mapsto \chi_\phi \begin{pmatrix} t & 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ 0 & \xi(t) & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \xi^2(t) & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \xi^3(t) & \cdots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 & \xi^{m-1}(t) \end{pmatrix}$$

where $t = a_0+a_1\delta+a_2\delta^2+\cdots+a_{m-1}\delta^{m-1}$. Write $t = a_0+a_1\delta+a_2\delta^2+\cdots+a_{m-1}\delta^{m-1} = \varpi^n u, u \in \mathfrak{o}_E^*$, so $\xi(t) = a_0 + a_1\Upsilon\delta + a_2\Upsilon^2\delta^2 + \cdots + a_{m-1}\Upsilon^{m-1}\delta^{m-1} = \varpi^n\xi(u)$, where Υ is a primitive m^{th} root of unity. Recalling the isomorphism

$$^0T^{\Phi_\sigma} \times X^\sigma \xrightarrow{\sim} T^{\Phi_\sigma}$$

$$(\gamma, \lambda) \mapsto \gamma\lambda(\varpi),$$

we get that

$$\chi_\phi \begin{pmatrix} t & 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ 0 & \xi(t) & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \xi^2(t) & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \xi^3(t) & \cdots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 & \xi^{m-1}(t) \end{pmatrix} =$$

$$\chi_s \begin{pmatrix} u & 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ 0 & \xi(u) & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \xi^2(u) & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \xi^3(u) & \cdots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 & \xi^{m-1}(u) \end{pmatrix} \chi(\varpi^n)$$

That is,

$$\chi_\phi \begin{pmatrix} t & 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ 0 & \xi(t) & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \xi^2(t) & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \xi^3(t) & \cdots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 & \xi^{m-1}(t) \end{pmatrix} = \chi(t)$$

□

As in the end of Section 7, we conclude that the packet of representations that DeBacker/Reeder construct in [6] from the data that we have obtained thus far is the single representation

$$Ind_{F^*GL(m,\mathfrak{o}_F)}^{GL(m,F)}(\chi_\lambda \otimes \kappa_\lambda^0)$$

Recall that in Section 5.3, the local Langlands correspondence for $GL(m, F)$, where m is an odd prime, was given as

$$Ind_{W_E}^{W_F}(\chi) \mapsto \pi_{\chi\Delta_\chi}$$

We have therefore shown that the local Langlands correspondence of DeBacker/Reeder is given by

$$Ind_{W_E}^{W_F}(\chi) \mapsto \pi_{\chi\Delta_\chi}.$$

10 The positive depth correspondence of Reeder for $GL(\ell, F)$, ℓ an arbitrary prime

In this section, we prove that the correspondence of [10] agrees with the local Langlands correspondence of [9] for $GL(\ell, F)$, where ℓ is an arbitrary prime, if one assumes a certain compatibility condition, which we describe now. Reeder's construction in [10] begins by canonically attaching a certain admissible pair $(L/F, \Omega)$ to a Langlands parameter for $GL(\ell, F)$. His construction then inputs this admissible pair into the theory of [1] in order to construct a supercuspidal representation $\pi(L, \Omega)$ of $GL(\ell, F)$. The compatibility condition that we will need to assume is that $\pi(L, \Omega)$ is the same supercuspidal representation that is attached to $(L/F, \Omega)$ via the Howe construction in [8].

Most of the arguments and setup are the same as in the depth zero case, so there is not much to prove here. We first very briefly review the construction of [10] and refer to [10] for various definitions and notions that are not explained here.

10.1 Review of construction of Reeder

Let $\mathbf{G}$ be an F-quasisplit and F^u-split connected reductive group. Let $\mathbf{B} \subset \mathbf{G}$ be a Borel subgroup defined over F, and $\mathbf{T}$ a maximal torus of $\mathbf{B}$.

The Langlands parameters considered in [10] are the maps $\phi : W_F \to {}^L G = <\hat{\theta}> \ltimes \hat{G}$ such that

(1) ϕ is trivial on $I^{(r+1)}$ and nontrivial on $I^{(r)}$ for some integer $r > 0$. Here, $\{I^{(k)}\}_{k \geq 0}$ is a filtration on I_F defined in [10, p. 25].

(2) The centralizer of $\phi(I^{(r)})$ in $\hat{G}$ is a maximal torus of $\hat{G}$. This condition is the *regularity* condition.

(3) $\phi(\Phi) \in \hat{\theta} \ltimes \hat{G}$, and the centralizer of $\phi(W_F)$ in $\hat{G}$ is finite, modulo $\hat{Z}^{\hat{\theta}}$. This is the *ellipticity* condition.

We may conjugate ϕ by an element of $\hat{G}$ so that $\phi(I_F) \subset \hat{T}$, and $\phi(\Phi) = \hat{\theta} f$, where $f \in \hat{N}$. Let $\hat{w}$ be the image of f in $\hat{W}_o$, and let w be the element of W_o dual to $\hat{w}$. We say that the element w is *associated* to ϕ.

Set $\sigma = w\theta$ and suppose its action on X has order n. From an above such Langlands parameter, Reeder defines a $\hat{T}$-conjugacy class of Langlands parameters

$$\phi_T : W_F \to {}^L T_\sigma$$

in the exact same way as in the depth zero case. In particular, the element τ is defined in the same way.

As in the depth zero case, a bijection is later given between $\hat{T}$-conjugacy classes of continuous homomorphisms

$$\phi : W_F / I^{(r+1)} \to {}^L T_\sigma$$

for which $\phi(\Phi) \in \hat{\sigma} \ltimes \hat{T}$ and characters of T^{Φ_σ} that are trivial on $T_{r+1}^{\Phi_\sigma}$, where $\{T_k\}_{k \geq 0}$ is the canonical filtration on T (see [10, p. 27-28]). This is done as follows. Via the composite isomorphism (see [10, p. 28])

$$\mathrm{Hom}_{Ad(\Phi),\hat{\sigma}}(I_F/I^{(r+1)}, \hat{T}) \cong \mathrm{Hom}_{Ad(\Phi),\hat{\sigma}}(I_F/I_n^{(r+1)}, \hat{T}) = \mathrm{Hom}_{\Phi,\hat{\sigma}}(\mathfrak{o}_n^*/1 + \mathfrak{p}_n^{r+1}, \hat{T}) =$$

$$\mathrm{Hom}_{\Phi_\sigma,Id}(X \otimes (\mathfrak{o}_n^*/1 + \mathfrak{p}_n^{r+1}), \mathbb{C}^*) = \mathrm{Hom}_{\Phi_\sigma,Id}({}^0T^{\Phi_\sigma^n}/T_{r+1}^{\Phi_\sigma^n}, \mathbb{C}^*) = \mathrm{Hom}({}^0T^{\Phi_\sigma}/T_{r+1}^{\Phi_\sigma}, \mathbb{C}^*) \qquad (6)$$

$s := \phi|_{I_F}$ maps to a character $\chi_s \in \mathrm{Hom}({}^0T^{\Phi_\sigma}/T_{r+1}^{\Phi_\sigma}, \mathbb{C}^*)$. Then, if $\phi(\Phi) = \hat{\sigma} \ltimes \tau$, we get that τ gives rise to a character of X^σ given by $\chi_\tau(\lambda) := \lambda(\tau)$ for $\lambda \in X^\sigma$, just as in the depth zero case. Recalling that $T^{\Phi_\sigma} = {}^0T^{\Phi_\sigma} \times X^\sigma$, we define a character χ_ϕ of T^{Φ_σ} by $\chi_\phi := \chi_s \otimes \chi_\tau$, which is our desired character of T^{Φ_σ} constructed from the Langlands parameter ϕ.

As in the depth zero case, we have the set X_w. To $\lambda \in X_w$, Reeder associates a 1-cocycle u_λ, hence a twisted Frobenius $\Phi_\lambda = Ad(u_\lambda) \circ \Phi$. Moreover, to λ is associated an affine Weyl group element w_λ, a parahoric subgroup G_{x_λ}, and an element $p_\lambda \in G_{x_\lambda}$ such that $p_\lambda^{-1}\Phi_\lambda(p_\lambda)$ is a lift of w_λ. We then define $T_\lambda := Ad(p_\lambda)T$ and set $\chi_\lambda := \chi_\phi \circ Ad(p_\lambda)^{-1}$. To the torus T_λ and the character χ_λ, we apply the construction of [1] to obtain a supercuspidal representation. Then, Reeder constructs a packet $\Pi(\phi)$ of representations on the pure inner forms of G, parameterized by $\mathrm{Irr}(C_\phi)$, using the above construction, where C_ϕ is the component group.

10.2 The case of $GL(2,F)$

In this section, we consider the group $\mathbf{G}(F) = GL(2,F)$. Let $\phi : W_F \to {}^LG$ one of the Langlands parameters for $\mathbf{G}(F) = GL(2,F)$ that is considered in section 10.1.

Lemma 10.1. *$\phi = Ind_{W_E}^{W_F}(\chi)$ for some admissible pair $(E/F,\chi)$, where χ has positive level and E/F is quadratic unramified.*

Proof. As in the depth zero case, the Weyl group element w that is associated to ϕ is the nontrivial Weyl group element of $GL(2,F)$. We know that ϕ is an irreducible admissible $\phi : W_F \to GL(2,\mathbb{C})$ that is trivial on $I^{(r+1)}$ and nontrivial on $I^{(r)}$ for some integer $r > 0$. Let E be the degree 2 unramified extension of F. Any representation $Ind_{W_E}^{W_F}(\Omega)$ where $(E/F,\Omega)$ is an admissible pair is equivalent to the representation $\kappa : W_F \to GL(2,\mathbb{C})$ satisfying:

1) $\kappa|_{W_E}$ is given by $\Omega \in \widehat{E^*}$ by the local Langlands correspondence for tori.

2) $\kappa(\Phi) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ \mu(\varpi) & 0 \end{pmatrix}$.

We want to show that ϕ satisfies the two conditions above, for some admissible pair $(E/F,\chi)$. Let's restrict ϕ to W_E. By the composite isomorphism (6) above, $\phi|_{I_E}$ gives rise to a character $\ddot{\chi}$ of $\mathfrak{o}_E^*$. Then, by following the composite isomorphism (6) backwards, one sees that

$$\phi(x) = \begin{pmatrix} \ddot{\chi}(r_2(x)) & 0 \\ 0 & \overline{\ddot{\chi}}(r_2(x)) \end{pmatrix} \quad \forall x \in I_F = I_E$$

as in the depth zero case, where $\overline{\ddot{\chi}}(t) := \ddot{\chi}(\overline{t})$. As in Proposition 6.4, we know that $\phi(\Phi) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ a & 0 \end{pmatrix}$ for some $a \in \mathbb{C}^*$, because of the ellipticity condition on ϕ. Therefore, we have that

$$\phi(\Phi_E) = \phi(\Phi^2) = \phi(\Phi)^2 = \begin{pmatrix} a & 0 \\ 0 & a \end{pmatrix}.$$

Then $\ddot{\chi}$ extends to a character, denoted χ, of E^*, by setting $\chi(\varpi) := a$ and $\chi|_{\mathfrak{o}_E^*} := \ddot{\chi}|_{\mathfrak{o}_E^*}$. One can now see that $\phi = Ind_{W_E}^{W_F}(\chi)$. By the regularity condition on ϕ, we get that $\ddot{\chi} \neq \overline{\ddot{\chi}}$, and thus $(E/F,\chi)$ is an admissible pair. Finally, χ has positive level since $r > 0$. $\square$

We now calculate the character χ_ϕ. Recall that $T^{\Phi_\sigma} = {}^0T^{\Phi_\sigma} \times X^\sigma$. By [10, p. 28], the character χ_ϕ is given by

$$\chi_\phi \circ N_\sigma(\Gamma \otimes r_2(x)) = \Gamma(\phi(x))$$

$$\chi_\phi(\mu) = \mu(\tau)$$

for all $\Gamma \in X, \mu \in X^\sigma, x \in I_F$, where r_2 is the reciprocity map of [10, p. 24], N_σ is the norm map, and τ is given by $\phi_T(\Phi) = \hat{\sigma} \ltimes \tau$.

Proposition 10.2. $\chi_\phi = \chi\Delta_\chi$.

Proof. The analogous arguments as in the depth zero case show that $\chi_\phi|_{\mathfrak{o}_E^*} = \chi|_{\mathfrak{o}_E^*}$. In particular, let $z \in \mathfrak{o}_E^*$. Let $x \in I_F$ be any element such that $r_2(x) = z$, and let Γ be the cocharacter

$$t \mapsto \begin{pmatrix} t & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Then

$$N_\sigma(\Gamma \otimes r_2(x)) = \begin{pmatrix} z & 0 \\ 0 & \overline{z} \end{pmatrix}.$$

Moreover, by the same arguments as in Proposition 6.1, we get $\phi(x) = \begin{pmatrix} \chi\left(r_2(x)\right) & 0 \\ 0 & \chi\left(\overline{r_2(x)}\right) \end{pmatrix}$ so that $\Gamma(\phi(x)) = \chi(z)$. Finally, as we may take τ to be the same element as in the depth zero case, we have that $\chi_\phi(\varpi) = -\chi(\varpi)$, so that $\chi_\phi = \chi\Delta_\chi$. ☐

Note that $[X/(1-w\theta)X]_{\text{tor}} = 0$, so we may let $\lambda = 0$ (recall that $\lambda \in X_w$). It is easy to see that we may again take $u_\lambda = 1$, and therefore $\Phi_\lambda = \Phi$. It is also easy to see that we may take $w_\lambda = w$ (see [10, page 32]), and we may also take the same p_λ as in the depth zero case in Section 7. So we have the same T_λ as in section 7 and the analogous χ_λ.

We have therefore shown that if we assume the compatibility condition in the beginning of section 10, then by Proposition 10.2, the Reeder construction attaches the representation $\pi_{\chi\Delta_\chi}$ to the Langlands parameter $\phi = Ind_{W_E}^{W_F}(\chi)$. This shows that as long as we assume this compatibility condition, the correspondences of [10] and [9] agree for $GL(2, F)$.

10.3 The case of $GL(m, F)$, where m is an odd prime

In this section, we consider the group $\mathbf{G}(F) = GL(m, F)$, where m is an odd prime. Let $\phi : W_F \to {}^LG$ be one of the Langlands parameters for $\mathbf{G}(F) = GL(m, F)$ that is considered in section 10.1.

Lemma 10.3. *$\phi = Ind_{W_E}^{W_F}(\chi)$ for some admissible pair $(E/F, \chi)$, where χ has positive level and E/F is degree m unramified.*

Proof. The proof is similar as in the $GL(2, F)$ case, but we include it for completeness purposes. As in the depth zero case in Section 8, we may conjugate ϕ by an element of $\hat{G}$ so that the Weyl group element w that is associated to ϕ is the Weyl group element $(1\ 2\ 3\ ...\ m)$ in the symmetric group on m letters. We know that ϕ is an irreducible admissible $\phi : W_F \to GL(m, \mathbb{C})$ that is trivial on $I^{(r+1)}$ and nontrivial on $I^{(r)}$ for some integer $r > 0$. Let E be the degree m unramified extension of F. Again, any representation $Ind_{W_E}^{W_F}(\Omega)$ where $(E/F, \Omega)$ is an admissible pair is equivalent to the representation $\kappa : W_F \to GL(m, \mathbb{C})$ satisfying:

1) $\kappa|_{W_E}$ is given by $\Omega \in \widehat{E^*}$ by the local Langlands correspondence for tori.

2)

$$\kappa(\Phi) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & \mu(\varpi) \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & \cdots & 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

We want to show that ϕ satisfies the two conditions above, for some admissible pair $(E/F, \chi)$. Let's restrict ϕ to W_E. By the composite isomorphism (6), $\phi|_{I_E}$ gives rise to a character $\ddot{\chi}$ of $\mathfrak{o}_E^*$. Then, by following the composite isomorphism (6) backwards, one sees that

$$\phi(x) = \begin{pmatrix} \ddot{\chi}(r_m(x)) & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ 0 & \ddot{\chi}^{\xi}(r_m(x)) & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \ddot{\chi}^{\xi^2}(r_m(x)) & \cdots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & \ddot{\chi}^{\xi^{m-1}}(r_m(x)) \end{pmatrix}$$

as in the depth zero case. Now, as in Proposition 8.4, we know that

$$\phi(\Phi) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & a \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & \cdots & 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

for some $a \in \mathbb{C}^*$, because of the ellipticity condition on ϕ. Therefore, we have that

$$\phi(\Phi_E) = \phi(\Phi^m) = \phi(\Phi)^m = \begin{pmatrix} a & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ 0 & a & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & a & \cdots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & a \end{pmatrix}.$$

Then $\ddot{\chi}$ extends to a character, denoted χ, of E^*, by setting $\chi(\varpi) := a$ and $\chi|_{\mathfrak{o}_E^*} := \ddot{\chi}|_{\mathfrak{o}_E^*}$. One can now see that $\phi = Ind_{W_E}^{W_F}(\chi)$. By the regularity condition on ϕ, we get that $\ddot{\chi} \neq \ddot{\chi}^{\xi}$, and thus $(E/F, \chi)$ is an admissible pair. Finally, χ has positive level since $r > 0$. ☐

Proposition 10.4. *$\chi_\phi = \chi\Delta_\chi$.*

Proof. The analogous proof as in Proposition 10.2 and the depth zero case works here. ☐

Note that $[X/(1-w\theta)X]_{\text{tor}} = 0$, so we may let $\lambda = 0$ (recall that $\lambda \in X_w$). It is easy to see that we may again take $u_\lambda = 1$, and therefore $\Phi_\lambda = \Phi$. It is also easy to see that we may take $w_\lambda = w$ (see [10, page 32]), and we may also take the same p_λ as in the depth zero case in Section 9. So we have the same T_λ as in section 9 and the analogous χ_λ.

We have therefore shown that if we assume the compatibility condition in the beginning of section 10, then by Proposition 10.4, the Reeder construction attaches the representation $\pi_{\chi\Delta_\chi}$ to the Langlands parameter $\phi = Ind_{W_E}^{W_F}(\chi)$. This shows that as long as we assume this compatibility condition, the correspondences of [10] and [9] agree for $GL(m, F)$, where m is an odd prime.

References

[1] Jeffrey Adler, *Refined anisotropic K-types and supercuspidal representations*, Pacific J. Math., 185 (1998), pp. 1-32.

[2] Colin Bushnell and Guy Henniart, *The Local Langlands Conjecture for GL(2)*, A Series of Comprehensive Studies in Mathematics, Volume 335, Springer Berlin Heidelberg, 2006.

[3] Colin Bushnell and Guy Henniart, *The Essentially Tame Local Langlands Correspondence, I*, J. Amer. Math. Soc. 18 (2005), no. 3, 685–710.

[4] Roger Carter, *Finite Groups of Lie Type: Conjugacy Classes and Complex Characters*, John Wiley and Sons Inc, 1993.

[5] Stephen DeBacker, *On Supercuspidal Characters of GL_ℓ, ℓ a prime*, Ph.D. thesis, University of Chicago, 1997.

[6] Stephen DeBacker and Mark Reeder, *Depth-zero supercuspidal L-packets and their stability.* Ann. of Math. (2) 169 (2009), no. 3, 795–901.

[7] Francois Digne, Jean Michel, *Representations of Finite Groups of Lie Type*, London Mathematical Society Student Texts 21, Cambridge University Press, 1991.

[8] Roger Howe, *Tamely ramified supercuspidal representations of $GL_n(F)$*, Pacific Journal of Math. 73 (1977), 437–460.

[9] Allen Moy, *Local Constants and the Tame Langlands Correspondence*, American Journal of Math. 108 (1986), no. 4, 863–929.

[10] Mark Reeder, *Supercuspidal L-packets of positive depth and twisted Coxeter elements.* J. Reine Angew. Math. 620 (2008), 1-33.

[11] Jean-Pierre Serre, *A Course in Arithmetic*, 4th edition, Graduate Texts in Mathematics, Springer-Verlag Berlin and Heidelberg GmbH and Co. K, 1996.

[12] Jean-Pierre Serre, *Local Fields*, Graduate Texts in Mathematics, Springer-Verlag New York, 1979.

[13] John Tate, *Number theoretic background.* Automorphic forms, representations and L-functions (Proc. Sympos. Pure Math., Oregon State Univ., Corvallis, Ore., 1977), Part 2, pp. 3-26, Proc. Sympos. Pure Math., XXXIII, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 1979.